ENERGY SECURITY BOARD

POST 2025 FUTURE MARKET PROGRAM

ESSENTIAL SYSTEM SERVICES WORKING GROUP

PRESENTATION SLIDES

16 JULY 2020



IMPORTANT NOTES

- These slides are solely for workshop purposes only. The content provides general information to support informed stakeholder engagement and feedback.
- The presentation does not represent the official position of the Energy Security Board or any related body.
- The webinar is being recorded and a link to the recording will be provided after the webinar.

WEBINAR-WORKSHOP LOGISTICS

- All participants are currently in listen-only mode
- We will pause periodically for discussion. Please use the Raised Hand to signal that you would like to speak.
- If you would like to record a comment without discussion, feel free to type it into this field.

The webinar is being recorded and a link to the recording will be provided after the webinar.





P2025 PROGRAM – KEY DELIVERABLES



CONTEXT

Scope and objectives for this meeting

FTI to present their work on Essential System Services

Seek feedback from TWG



ROLE OF THE TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP ON THIS WORKSTREAM

• COAG Energy Council has tasked the ESB with:

the concurrent development of the market design for a two-sided market and a new framework for system services and ahead market arrangements to identify a recommended design by the end of 2020.

 We would like to engage with the TWG to help develop the designs

OBJECTIVE OF THIS MEETING

- Update TWG on the development of the Essential System Services MDI.
- Present the high-level findings of FTI's Draft Report
- Seek initial feedback on FTI's characterisation of:
 - Options for procuring and scheduling ESS
 - A straw-man roadmap for each service
 - Considerations for regulatory flexibility and a future framework

P2025 PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE





ONGOING INTERACTION BETWEEN TECHNICAL ADVICE AND MARKET DESIGN



2020 Integrated System Plan



Final publication: Expected mid-2020

https://aemo.com.au/en/energy-systems/major-publications/integratedsystem-plan-isp/2020-integrated-system-plan-isp

Renewable Integration Study

Email distribution list for updates: FutureEnergy@aemo.com.au

https://aemo.com.au/en/energy-systems/electricity/national-electricity-market-nem/systemoperations/future-grid/renewable-integration-study

AEMC SYSTEM SERVICES PROJECTS

The AEMC as statutory rule maker is currently progressing a number of projects relating to system services. These projects are being coordinated with the work undertaken by the ESB, including the FTI work on system services.

System services rule changes consultation paper Published July 2020 Submissions due 13 August 2020







Essential System Services Webinar #2

Presentation to Technical Working Group and Advisory Group

16 July 2020



Introduction

FTI team presenting this webinar

Project Director

Jason Mann

Jason is a Senior Managing Director, based in FTI Consulting's London office.



Jason has been a leading global advisor to regulators and market participants on the design of different electricity markets and regulatory models since the mid-1990s. Throughout his career, Jason has worked on the design, implementation and operation of wholesale energy markets, and the regulation of energy networks.

Project Manger

Martina Lindovska

Martina is a Senior Director, based in FTI Consulting's London office.



Martina is an energy economist and has worked as a consultant for over ten years across the energy and wider utilities sector. She has extensive experience in global electricity markets, having worked for Ofgem, MISO and AEMO in recent years on various aspects of electricity market design.

Subject matter expert – US specialist

Dr Scott Harvey

Scott is a Managing Director, based in FTI Consulting's Boston office, and is a member of FTI's US Expert Panel.



Scott is an expert on electricity market design issues, having been involved in the electric power industry design for the last 25 years. He has worked extensively on market design issues in the US, including with CAISO, PJM and NYISO, as well as in Australia with AEMO.

Subject matter expert – NEM specialist / local lead

Robert Prydon

Robert is FTI Consulting's Australian energy lead, based in Sydney.



Robert has extensive experience in market design in Australia, gained over 25 years working for regulators, energy businesses and in consulting on energy market issues. Prior to joining FTI, Rob worked with the AEMC as Senior Economist advising on the strategic framework for energy market development



Professor William Hogan and Dr Susan Pope, who are Subject Matter Experts within the FTI team exploring Resource Adequacy Mechanisms, are also attending the webinar.

Introduction

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Recap: In the first ESS workshop in May, we validated the main focus of our work and set out the key dimensions of designing a framework for ESS

- FTI has been commissioned to <u>examine options for the procurement and scheduling of ESS</u> in the NEM that would be in the long-term consumer interest.
- A draft FTI report has been circulated to the Focus Group. The FTI report:
- Identifies ESS where the case for change appears to be the strongest;
- Presents options for changing the procurement and scheduling of ESS;
- Considers how the wider regulatory framework may need to adapt to deliver those changes; and
- Present a potential roadmap towards the Post 2025 Market Design in the NEM.

Objectives of today's workshop:

Present the refined spectrum of options for ESS procurement and scheduling Discuss the <u>merits of</u> <u>different options</u> (including spot markets & the concept of demand curves)

Discuss <u>regulatory</u> <u>regime options</u> and their merits F.T.

ESSENTIAL SYSTEM SERVICES IN THE NATIONAL

Section 2

Overview of ESS in the NEM and their key features



Section 3

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Evolving NEM system service needs indicate a strong case for change to ESS arrangements for **inertia** and **system strength**, as well as **reserves**

Recent trends and expected future trends Penetration of variable IBR is expected to continue increasing.. (M) d(GW) 30 2019 (Actuals) Del 2025 (ISP Central) Bu 25 2025 (ISP Step Change) Under 50 15 25 50 100 Solar and Wind penetration (% of Underlying Demand) ... while synchronous generation is displaced and retired GW NSW Black Coal 25 Qld Black Coal Vic Brown Coa 20 Change Central High DER Change





DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Section 6

1

We have considered three high-level options for procuring and scheduling ESS

Directed ESS / self-provision

No formal process for procuring ESS

- Directions / interventions by AEMO / investments by NSPs / standards and technical requirements (Inertia, System strength, Voltage control)
- Market participants selfprocurement (Operating reserves)

Structured procurement of ESS

ESS procured via structured nonspot-market mechanisms

Bilateral contract with AEMO (RERT) ahead time (with RT optimisation)

- Structured NSP provision
- Technical standards (MPFR)

Spot market-based ESS

ESS procured through spot marketbased mechanisms

- Nested, co-optimised design (FCAS & energy)
- "Demand curve" concept range from vertical to sloped (explored in the next slide)
- Potential ESS Contracts-for-Difference (relative to real-time spot energy prices)

NEM status

quo:



Inertia

Mandatory Primary Frequency Response

FCAS

Section 6

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Spot-market-based procurement of ESS (Option 3) relies on the concept of demand curves, i.e. AEMO's willingness to pay for different levels of service



Section 6

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

There is no single "target" model suitable for all services in all circumstances, as each option has its merits and risks

Directed ESS / self-provision

1

- Limited change relative to status quo
- Low implementation effort and costs
- Continued ad-hoc (and reactive) procurement of services
- No price signals to market participants (for investment or commitment)
- Consumer costs likely to be unnecessarily high

Structured procurement of ESS

- High AEMO confidence to operate a secure a system as sufficient resources are contracted or built
- Services not provided "for free"
- Alternative way to attract investment / ensure commitment if spot prices perceived too volatile
- AEMO/NSPs to specify the "need" for service and select resources ahead time
- Weak price signals as only a subset of resources is compensated
- Challenging for regulated and market ESS providers to co-exist

Spot market-based ESS

- Reflects AEMO's willingness to pay for different levels of service
- Price graduation can reflect the need for ESS to support IBR deployment and grid resilience
- Transparent price (investment & commitment) signal
- May be combined with Contractfor-Difference settlement against real-time spot prices
- AEMO to specify the "demand curve" parameters (but this is no more complicated than Option 2)
- × Implementation costs and timeline

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Section 7

The optimal pathway may be different for each service, but the initial changes could focus on providing remuneration to services where currently lacking



DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION Section 8 ESS should be able to adjust as the need for services changes or technology evolves, but there are risks associated with both "too much" and "too little" flexibility ...which may be mitigated through strict rules This encourages overly-conservative actions Key challenge of **asymmetry of information**: to limit overspend... by the SO and/or TNSPs It is obvious when security of supply is not delivered... ...but that risks creating a 'straitjacket', (e.g. excessive procurement of services or preventing the arrangements from adapting to ...but not when excessive costs have been incurred. investment in network assets)... changing environment **Flexible regulatory framework Rigid regulatory framework** Decision makers afforded significant Detailed set of rules on decision makers, flexibility and are able to exercise constraining potential ESS overspend discretion in an unfettered manner Mitigates information Fails to stimulate innovation **Facilitates innovation** Difficult for monitor and X × police asymmetry issues Adaptable to evolving Not adaptable to evolving X Constrains potential system needs system needs Risk of overspend X overspend Rule setters unable to identify Risk of unduly conservative X optimal spending investment

Balanced regulatory framework

- The appropriate regulatory framework needs a balance between "too much" and "too little" flexibility
- Decision makers afforded flexibility, subject to a range of checks and balances (see next slide)

2

Section 8 DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Checks and balances may need to be incorporated into the regulatory framework, to ensure AEMO and NSPs are held accountable for their decisions

Incentive regime and oversight For non-profit SOs, incentives can take the Responsible entities must be held appropriately accountable form of management incentives and the incentives they face must align with consumer interest **Cost controls** Test and trials Some cost control may be required to ensure Responsible entities may be given the discretion to test innovative services/products, but this may be market power does not lead to excessive costs Checks and 5 2 constrained to limited trials balances for a robust regulatory **Transparency and procurement guidelines Ex-post formalisation** framework Procurement should be transparent for relevant Discretion exercised by responsible entities may parties, to encourage participation and enable be subject to ex-post evaluation and formalisation 3

Key takeaways:

- In light of the need to adapt ESS arrangements, it is unlikely to be in the consumer interest to over-constrain decision makers...
- ...but affording flexibility and discretion should be conditional on the implementation of checks and balances.
- The most efficient outcome for consumers is likely to be achieved through the development of spot-markets...
- ... recognising that, despite the associated complexity, it may be very costly for consumers <u>not to</u> introduce spot markets.

monitoring of outcomes



EXPERTS WITH IMPACT

ENGAGING IN THE TWG

Next steps

SEEKING YOUR INPUT

Some issues we specifically want feedback on

FTI's characterisation of:

- Options for procuring and scheduling ESS
- A straw-man roadmap for each service
- Considerations for regulatory flexibility and a future framework

How you can provide feedback

Please provide feedback to <u>info@esb.org.au</u> with email subject heading titled '*TWG essential system services briefing*' by **Friday 24 July**.

Please get in contact if you have further questions.



END OF PRESENTATION